wo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Vio	ctor Manuel Lauren-Cota	Case Number: <u>11-6088M</u>
present and was r		142(f), a detention hearing was held on February 25, 2011. Defendant was a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a prepon	derance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
· · ·		nited States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
<u>—</u>		ged offense, was in the United States illegally.
⊠ If E	released herein, the defendant fa	ices removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported
□ т	he defendant has no significant conta	acts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
☐ T to	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculate to assure his/her future appearance.	
⊠ T	he defendant has a prior criminal his	tory.
□ т	he defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	he defendant is an amnesty applica ubstantial family ties to Mexico.	nt but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
□ т	here is a record of the defendant usir	ng numerous aliases.
□ т	he defendant attempted to evade law	enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
□ т	he defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.
The Court at the time of the	hearing in this matter, except as note	al findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour od in the record. DNCLUSIONS OF LAW
2. N The defer a corrections facili	here is a serious risk that the defended of condition or combination of condition of condition DIRECTION adant is committed to the custody of the separate, to the extent practicable,	
of the United State	es or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the purpose	Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
deliver a copy of the Court.	DERED that should an appeal of this one motion for review/reconsideration to	detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
Services sufficien	THER ORDERED that if a release to tly in advance of the hearing before tential third party custodian.	a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATED	this 28 th day of February, 20	011.
		10 in
	Un	David K. Duncan ited States Magistrate Judge